Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# T. V. Sundar,<sup>a</sup> V. Parthasarathi,<sup>a</sup>\* K. Sarkunam,<sup>b</sup> M. Nallu,<sup>b</sup> B. Walfort<sup>c</sup> and H. Lang<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Physics, Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli 620 024, India, <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli 620 024, India, and <sup>c</sup>Technische-Universität Chemnitz, Fakultät für Naturwissenschaften, Institut für Chemie, Lehrstuhl Anorganische Chemie, Straße der Nationen 62, D-09111 Chemnitz, Germany

Correspondence e-mail: vpsarati@yahoo.com

#### **Key indicators**

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 298 KMean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.006 Å R factor = 0.056 wR factor = 0.140 Data-to-parameter ratio = 16.9

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

# 1-[2-(Biphenyl-4-yl)-2-oxoethyl]-4-(*N*,*N*-dimethylamino)pyridinium bromide hemihydrate

The title compound,  $C_{21}H_{21}N_2O^+ \cdot Br^- \cdot 0.5H_2O$ , crystallizes in a monoclinic unit cell with two cations, two anions and one water molecule in the asymmetric unit. The conformations of the two independent cations are different. The crystal packing is stabilized by  $O-H \cdot \cdot \cdot Br$  and  $C-H \cdot \cdot \cdot Br$  interactions and weak intermolecular  $C-H \cdot \cdot \cdot O$  hydrogen bonds.

Received 31 January 2005 Accepted 1 March 2005 Online 11 March 2005

# Comment

4-Dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) is a well known catalyst for a variety of synthetic transformations under mild conditions (Prabakaran *et al.*, 2001, and references therein; Sundar *et al.*, 2004). In a continuation of the identification of bioactive compounds, the structure determination of the title compound, (I), was undertaken.



The asymmetric unit of (I) contains two crystallographically independent cations, A and B, two anions and one water molecule (Fig. 1). The bond lengths and angles in the DMAP moieties of A and B (Table 1) are comparable with those reported for related structures (Sundar *et al.*, 2004). The angles between the least-squares planes of the dimethylamino moiety and the pyridine ring in cations A and B are 10.6 (2) and



#### Figure 1

The asymmetric unit of (I), showing the atom-labelling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. H atoms are shown as circles of arbitrary radii.

© 2005 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

# organic papers

 $13.3 (2)^{\circ}$ , respectively. The dihedral angles between the mean planes of the benzene rings in A and B are 15.7(2) and 1.5 (2)°, respectively. In cation A, the angle between the leastsquares planes of the pyridine ring and biphenyl moiety is 50.2 (1)°, whereas in cation B, the corresponding angle is  $86.34 (12)^{\circ}$ , close to the values of 81.01 (11) and  $78.64 (13)^{\circ}$ observed in related structures (Sundar et al., 2004). Fig. 2 shows a superposition of cations A and B. The r.m.s. deviations of the superimposed separate DMAP and biphenvl moieties are 0.06 and 0.13 Å, respectively. The overall r.m.s. deviation of cations A and B is 0.34 Å. The main conformational differences in A and B are around the biphenyl moieties (Fig. 2), which may be caused by the packing interactions.

The pyridinium systems in cations A and B have a substantial degree of quinoidal character, since the bond lengths C2–C3 and C5–C6 in both cations (ca 1.35 Å; Table 1) are significantly shorter than those observed for C3-C4 and C4–C5 bonds (*ca* 1.41 Å). The C4–N2 bond lengths (Table 1) are intermediate between the typical C-N singleand double-bond distances (Allen et al., 1987), indicating significant conjugation. The sum of the bond angles around atoms N1 and N2 in both cations is close to 360° (Table 1), hence there is no evidence for an  $sp^3$  lone pair. The crystal packing (Fig. 3) is stabilized by  $O-H\cdots Br$  and  $C-H\cdots Br$ interactions and weak intermolecular C-H···O hydrogen bonds (Table 2).

## **Experimental**

A solution of 4-phenylphenacyl bromide (1.6 g, 4 mmol) and DMAP (0.5 g, 4 mmol) in dry acetone was refluxed for 30 min. After cooling to room temperature (303 K), the solid that separated was filtered off and washed with dry acetone to give the stable salt (I) (yield 1.87 g, 89%; m.p. 519-521 K), which was recrystallized from ethanol.

### Crystal data

refinement

$\begin{array}{l} C_{21}H_{21}N_2O^+\cdot Br^-\cdot 0.5H_2O\\ M_r = 406.32\\ Monoclinic, \ C2/c\\ a = 21.077\ (6) \ Å\\ b = 9.971\ (3) \ Å\\ c = 37.485\ (12) \ Å\\ \beta = 98.121\ (9)^\circ\\ V = 7799\ (4) \ Å^3\\ Z = 16 \end{array}$	$D_x = 1.384 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation Cell parameters from 911 reflections $\theta = 2.2-27.8^{\circ}$ $\mu = 2.12 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 298 (2) K Block, colourless $0.7 \times 0.4 \times 0.4 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	
Bruker SMART CCD 1K diffractometer $\omega$ scans Absorption correction: multi-scan ( <i>SADABS</i> ; Sheldrick, 1996) $T_{min} = 0.281, T_{max} = 0.428$ 31162 measured reflections	7982 independent reflections 4222 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I_{\text{int}} = 0.088)$ $\theta_{\text{max}} = 26.4^{\circ}$ $h = -26 \rightarrow 26$ $k = 0 \rightarrow 12$ $l = 0 \rightarrow 46$
Refinement	
Refinement on $F^2$ $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.056$ $wR(F^2) = 0.140$ S = 1.01 7982 reflections 471 parameters H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained	$w = \frac{1}{[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0565P)^2 + 4.0141P]}$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.52 \text{ e Å}^{-3}$ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.34 \text{ e Å}^{-3}$



Figure 2 Superimposed fit of cations A (red) and B (green) of (I).





The crystal packing of (I). Intermolecular hydrogen bonds are indicated by dashed lines.

## Table 1

 $> 2\sigma(I)$ 

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

N2A - C4A	1.336 (5)	N2B-C4B	1.327 (5)
C2A - C3A	1.347 (5)	C2B-C3B	1.345 (6)
C3A-C4A	1.428 (6)	C3B-C4B	1.405 (6)
C2A-N1A-C6A	117.7 (4)	C6B-N1B-C2B	118.3 (3)
C2A - N1A - C1A	121.6 (4)	C6B-N1B-C1B	120.6 (4)
C6A - N1A - C1A	120.7 (4)	C2B-N1B-C1B	121.0 (4)
C4A-N2A-C7A	122.4 (4)	C4B-N2B-C7B	122.2 (4)
C4A - N2A - C8A	121.2 (4)	C4B-N2B-C8B	122.0 (4)
C7A-N2A-C8A	116.4 (3)	C7B-N2B-C8B	115.7 (4)

Table 2 Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$C1A - H1A \cdots Br2$	0.97	2.76	3.713 (5)	170
OW−H22···Br1	0.86	2.47	3.314 (4)	170
OW−H23···Br2	0.87	2.45	3.311 (4)	169
$C1A - H1B \cdots Br2^{i}$	0.97	2.74	3.581 (5)	146
$C14A - H14A \cdots OW^{ii}$	0.93	2.61	3.530 (6)	173
$C21A - H21A \cdots OW^{ii}$	0.93	2.62	3.500 (6)	157
$C1B - H1D \cdots Br1^{iii}$	0.97	2.92	3.840 (5)	158
$C8B - H8D \cdots Br2^{iii}$	0.96	2.80	3.680 (5)	153
$C14B - H14B \cdots OW^{iv}$	0.93	2.38	3.270 (6)	160

Symmetry codes: (i)  $\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} - z$ ; (ii) x, 1 + y, z; (iii)  $x, 1 - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$ ; (iv)  $-x, y, \frac{1}{2} - z.$ 

The water H atoms were located in a difference Fourier map and refined with H22-OW and H23-OW distance restraints of 0.86(1) Å. The remaining H atoms were treated as riding, with C-H = 0.93–0.98 Å and  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5$  or  $1.2U_{eq}(C)$ .

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2000); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2000); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3* (Farrugia, 1997) and *PLATON* (Spek, 2003); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97* and *PARST* (Nardelli, 1995).

Thanks are due to the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), India, for the award of a Senior Research Fellowship (2001–2004) to KS.

### References

- Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G., Brammer, L., Orpen, A. G. & Taylor, R. (1987). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. S1–19.
- Bruker (2000). SMART and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Nardelli, M. (1995). J. Appl. Cryst. 28, 659.
- Prabakaran, P., Thomas Muthaiah, P., Nallu, M., Sathiskumar, V., Bocelli, G. & Rifhi, L. (2001). J. Chem. Res. (S), pp. 248–249.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7-13.
- Sundar, T. V., Parthasarathi, V., Sarkunam, K., Nallu, M., Walfort, B. & Lang, H. (2004). Acta Cryst. C60, 0464–0466.